

# PAYSON WEST MEADOWS ANNEXATION AGREEMENT

THIS ANNEXATION AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO THIS 20<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2013, BY AND BETWEEN THE UNDERSIGNED PROPERTY OWNERS ("PETITIONERS") AND PAYSON CITY CORPORATION ("CITY"), A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF UTAH.

#### RECITALS

- A. This Annexation Agreement is prepared pursuant to Chapter 19.12 of the Payson City Land Use Ordinance to specifically describe the rights, obligations and duties of the parties and to address zoning designation, specific plan, transfer of water rights, compliance with City development ordinances and resolutions in relation to development of property as described in Attachment B.
- B. City, acting pursuant to its authority under Utah Code Annotated §10-2-401, et. seq., and §10-9a-101, et. seq. and in furtherance of its land use policies, goals, objectives, ordinances, resolutions, and regulations, has made certain determinations with respect to the Payson West Meadows Annexation, and in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has elected to approve this Annexation Agreement in accordance with Chapter 19.12 of the Payson City Zoning Ordinance.
- C. The annexation and the contents of this Annexation Agreement are intended to be consistent with Payson City's General Plan and Annexation Policy Plan.

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual covenants, conditions and considerations that are more fully set forth below, Applicants and City hereby agree as follows:

#### **Section 1** Definitions

- 1. **Annexation** means inclusion in the Payson City municipal boundaries of the property identified on the Annexation Plat of the Payson West Meadows Annexation attached hereto as Attachment A.
- 2. Annexation Petition is a formal written application pursuant to Utah Code Annotated, 1953 (as amended) requesting to incorporate real property within the corporate limits of Payson City, Utah County, Utah.
- 3. **Applicant(s)** is an individual or group of individuals seeking approval of an activity regulated by the development ordinances of Payson City, including, but not limited to, the division of land, issuance of building permits, or the use of property in a manner consistent with the provisions of the Payson City Zoning Ordinance.
- 4. City means the City of Payson, Utah a municipal corporation. Any reference to another city shall include the full name of the intended municipality.
- 5. **Development** means approval of a subdivision, issuance of a building permit, or approval of any other development related activity regulated by Payson City. Development does not mean the construction of ancillary agricultural facilities built to support agricultural uses.
- 6. **Petitioners** are the individuals representing, via signature, the petition for annexation.
- 7. **Property Owner(s)** means a holder or proprietor of land or group of landowners within the annexation area. Property Owners must comply with the terms of this Agreement.

ENT 12250:2014 PG 2 of 13

# Section 2 Zoning Designation

In order to achieve the development goals of Payson City and to conform to the General Plan, the property in the annexation shall receive the zoning designation of A-5-H, Annexation Holding Zone until such time additional development is proposed. The zoning designation is in no way an indication of development approval of any subdivision or other development application. Each application for development approval shall satisfy the requirements of the development ordinances of Payson City enacted at the time of application for development approval.

Petitioners and City understand and agree the future development pattern within the annexation area will primarily consist of residential development. Petitioners and City agree to develop a Specific Plan consistent with Section 19.12.11 prior to any zone change to accommodate more intense development occurring on the annexed property. Once the Specific Plan is completed, City will amend the General Plan to make it consistent with the Specific Plan, if necessary.

# Section 3 Preparation of Specific Plan

Prior to any zone change to accommodate more intense development within the annexation area, City, with the assistance of Petitioners and Property Owners, shall prepare a Specific Plan pursuant to Section 19.12.11 and the other provisions of the development ordinances. If consulting services are necessary, City shall up front to cost for the preparation of the Specific Plan and the Petitioners and Property Owners will be required to reimburse City a proportionate share of the cost of the Specific Plan at the time each property owner develops its parcel(s). Development pursuant to the A-5-H, Annexation Holding Zone may occur without the approval of a Specific Plan. At a minimum, the Specific Plan shall include the following:

- 1. **Infrastructure Analysis:** The infrastructure analysis will include the ownership and condition of existing infrastructure, including water lines, sewer lines, power lines, roadway improvements, roadway surface conditions and drainage facilities. Furthermore, the analysis will determine what infrastructure systems and/or upgrades will be needed for Payson City to provide adequate municipal services to the annexation area.
- 2. Land Uses: The specific plan will identify the land use designations, including potential densities for residential development, parks and open space, trails, churches, schools, government facilities, and other land use considerations for the annexation area.
- 3. Traffic Circulation and Access Plan: The transportation system must provide proper connectivity with the existing street network and accommodate future transportation corridors, including an additional east-west corridor to connect 1700 West (4600 West, Utah County coordinate system) and 5600 West in the unincorporated area of Utah County. The roads and streets in the development shall be constructed in such a manner as to satisfy the Design Guidelines and Standard Specifications of City, unless an alternative design is approved by City.
  - a. **Trails:** City, with the assistance of Petitioners and Property Owners, shall prepare a trail system and other alternative modes of transportation that will allow safe movement for pedestrians through the annexation area. The trail system will connect with existing and planned pedestrian facilities in the area. Applicants for development approval may be eligible for a density bonus or other consideration for accommodating the non-motorized trail system.
- 4. **Open Space:** The specific plan will include a park and open space plan to accommodate the needs of the additional residential development within the annexed area. The size of the parks

and open space will need to be consistent with the level of service adopted by the Payson City Council. These facilities may be designed to accommodate storm drain facilities required for the area.

ENT 12250:2014 PG 3 of 13

### Section 4 Existing and Future Infrastructure Systems

There is limited infrastructure in the annexation area and additional development will require significant upgrades to existing utility systems and potentially new systems. The costs associated with designing and installing these systems will be borne by various parties, including Petitioners, Property Owners, developers, and builders, with assistance from Payson City, where appropriate.

- 1. Wastewater System: Development within the annexation area will require the installation of supplementary sewer facilities. City discourages the installation of smaller individual systems and may require the applicant to participate in a larger system designed to benefit multiple land owners.
- 2. **Electrical Considerations:** Applicants for development approval will need to satisfy the applicable requirements of the agreement reached between City and Strawberry Electric Service District (SESD) prior to any development approval. Applicants and City shall work together to provide needed land dedication or easements for transmission lines and substations. City agrees that existing structures may continue to be served by South Utah Valley Electric Service District (dba SESD) until such time the parcel is developed.
- 3. Water Transfers: Applicants for development approval must transfer adequate water to serve any development within the annexation area consistent with the regulations of Title 10, Water Ordinance. Although applicants are not required to transfer adequate water at the time of annexation, no development, as defined in Section 1 herein, will be approved until the transfer of adequate water consistent with Title 10, Water Ordinance has been completed. City recognizes that Petitioners and Property Owners have existing uses in the annexed area which use different sources of water. Petitioners and Property Owners may continue utilizing these sources of water until the property is further developed. At the time of development, applicants will only be required to dedicate sufficient water to satisfy City's water dedication requirement pursuant to Title 10. All excess water will remain the property of the applicant.

During the development process, applicants for development approval must provide a letter from the High Line Canal Company and any other affected irrigation company indicating that any proposed or future development will not inhibit their ability to provide irrigation water to downstream users. Applicants will provide City with the location of all private irrigation facilities.

4. Municipal Utility Connections: Applicants agree that all municipal utility services required at the time of development shall be connected and all impact, connection and related development fees shall be paid when development occurs. Existing structures shall connect to municipal utility services when the municipal utility service(s) are within 300 feet of the existing structure or when the parcel on which the structure is located is developed. All applicable impact, connection and related development fees shall be those fees in place at the time a building permit application is submitted or when the existing structures are required to connect to the municipal utility services.

#### Section 5 Compliance with Development Ordinances and Resolutions

Applicants expressly acknowledge and agree that nothing in this Annexation Agreement shall be deemed to relieve Applicants from the obligation to comply with all applicable requirements of City necessary for

approval of future development proposals. Any development approval shall include the payment of fees and be in compliance with all other applicable ordinances, resolutions, regulations, policies and procedures of Payson City, including but not limited to, the Payson City Subdivision Decimal Zoming of 13 Ordinance, and Design Guidelines and Standard Specifications.

ENT 12250:2014 P6 4 of 13

# Section 6 Existing Land Uses and Animal Practices

The annexation area consists of single family dwellings and agricultural uses of varying degrees. At the time of annexation, City was not aware of any obvious non-compliant uses or code enforcement concerns within the annexation area. Following annexation, all land uses, including the keeping of animals, must comply with the ordinance, resolutions and policies of Payson City. If a property owner can demonstrate that a use legally existed prior to annexation, the City Council may allow the use to continue as a non-conforming use until the property is further developed.

Animals must be kept in accordance with Title 6, Animal Control Ordinance and the property owner is responsible to maintain the animals in a manner that does not create a nuisance as defined in the Payson Municipal Code.

Nothing herein is intended to limit any property owner from complying with the Utah Farmland Assessment Act, also known as the Greenbelt Act, if the property complies with the applicable regulations of Utah Code and Utah County ordinances.

For the purposes of this Annexation Agreement, the following uses are permitted to continue until the time of development approval for the parcel:

- 1. Agricultural uses including farming and pasturing, and existing animal rights for each legal parcel in the annexation until the time of development approval for the parcel proposed to be developed.
- 2. Residential uses typically found in the residential zones of Payson City.
- 3. Any home based businesses (home occupations) that existed prior to annexation. A business license must be obtained from Payson City.
- 4. Any commercial agri-business companies that existed prior to annexation. Permits and licenses may be required.

#### Section 7 Reserved Legislative Powers

Nothing in this Annexation Agreement shall limit the future exercise of the police power by City in enacting zoning, subdivision, development, transportation, environmental, open space, and related land use plans, policies, ordinances and regulations after the date of this Agreement.

#### Section 8 Agreement to Run With the Land

This Annexation Agreement shall be recorded against the land included in the annexation to Payson City and shall run with the land and shall be binding on all successors and/or assigns of the land or development of any portion or phase of the property.

# Section 9 Assignment

Neither this Annexation Agreement nor any of the provisions, terms or conditions hereof can be assigned to any other party, individual or entity without assigning the rights as well as the responsibilities under this Agreement and without the prior written consent of City, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

## Section 10 No Joint Venture, Partnership or Third Party Rights

This Annexation Agreement does not create any joint venture, partnership, undertaking or business arrangement between the parties hereto, nor any rights or benefits to third parties. This Annexation Agreement contains the entire agreement with respect to the subject matter hereof and integrates all prior conversations, discussions, or understandings of whatever kind or nature and may only be modified by a subsequent agreement, in writing, duly executed by the parties hereto.

#### Section 11 Amendment

ENT 12250:2014 PG 5 of 13

This Annexation Agreement may not be amended unless agreed to in writing by the parties.

#### Section 12 Severability

4

If any part or provision of this Agreement shall be determined to be unconstitutional, invalid or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, then such a decision shall not affect any other part or provision of this Agreement, except that specific provision determined to be unconstitutional, invalid or unenforceable. If any condition, covenant or other provision of this Agreement shall be deemed invalid due its scope or breadth, such provision shall be deemed valid to the extent of the scope or breadth permitted by law.

DATED this day of, 2013.	
	Petitioners
Kathleen M. Hiatt	Tracey L. Jones
Michael Earl Hiatt	Matthe Left States  Matthew Carl Whiting
Ron G. Ash	Erin Leona Whiting
Peggy E. Ash	J. Douglas Huff
James L. Aitken	Saundra M. Hutty
Barbara Aithen	Joseph J. Bradbury
Gary L. DeGraw	John Lamont Sperry
Floyding Frones	Terrill Sperry

$\mathcal{Q}$	
My F. Brown	Hoyd Sucher
Larry F. Brown	Floyd Sucher
Cheral G Brown	Heraldene Sucher
Cheryl G. Brown	Geraldine Sucher
ZUNDUD ZOUDIN W	Dany Muhleslew
Lysette Gardner	Danny Muhlestein
The Care	Diana Muhlestein
Reed R. Ekins III	Diana Municipeni
Verlyn M. Ekins	Mark Gering, Christian Life Assembly of God
veriyii wi. Ekins	Walk Gennig, Christian Elie Assenting of God
Payson	City
	Richard D. Moore
	Mayor, Payaor Sity
Attest:	
Chanette C. (1) indeer	
Jeanette C. Wineteer	
Payson City Recorder	UTAH
STATE OF UTAH )	
: SS	ENT 12250:2014 PG 6 of 13
COUNTY OF UTAH)	
On the May of May, 2013, person	onally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and
for the State of Utah, FLOYD SUCHER, the signers of duly acknowledged to not that they executed the same.	f the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who
NOTARY PUBLIC AMANDA BAKER	
#583823	Manda John
STATE OF UTAH  STATE OF UTAH  STATE OF UTAH	WOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF UTAH	j
COUNTY OF UTAH)	
and again to a literature	
On the 29 day of Mous, 2013, person for the State of Utah, GERALDINE SUCHER	onally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and the signers of the above ANNEXATION
AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that the	
AMANDA BAKEI	
COMMISSION EXPE	NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF UTAH ) 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH	<b>1</b>

**COUNTY OF UTAH)** 

#### PAYSON WEST MEADOWS ANNEXATION AGREEMENT - March 20, 2013

ENT

12250:2014 PG 7 of 13 On the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, KATHLEEN M. HIATT, the signers of the above ANNEXATION **AGREEMENT**, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF UTAH ) : SS COUNTY OF UTAH ) On the day of , 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, MICHAEL EARL HIATT, the signers of the above ANNEXATION **AGREEMENT**, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF UTAH **COUNTY OF UTAH )** On the 3rd day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, RON G. ASH, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC** AMANDA BAKER #484824 MMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH COUNTY OF UTAH ) On the 3rd day of June, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, PEGGY E. ASH, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC** AMANDA BAKER #583823 OMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH **COUNTY OF UTAH )** 

# PAYSON WEST MEADOWS ANNEXATION AGREEMENT - March 20, 2013

day of MUM, 2014, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, JAMES L. AITKEN, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC** AMANDA BAKER #583823 MISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH : SS ENT 12250:2014 PG 8 of 13 COUNTY OF UTAH ) day of AMILIAN , 2014, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, BARRARA ALTEEN, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same **NOTARY PUBLIC AM**ANDA BAKER #583823 DMMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 **S**TATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH **COUNTY OF UTAH** ) On the 20th day of Male , 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, GARY L. BEGRAW, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT. who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC AMANDA BAKER** #583823 IMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH **COUNTY OF UTAH** ) On the day of Ortholy, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, FLOYD L. JONES, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC** AMANDA BAKER #583823 MMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH

COUNTY OF UTAH )

day of 1, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, TRACEY L. JONES, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC** AMANDA BAKER #583823 VIMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF LITAR STATE OF UTAH ENT 12250:2014 PG 9 of 13 COUNTY OF UTAH ) On the day of March, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, MATTHEW CARL WHITING, the signers of the above ANNEXATION **AGREEMENT**, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC AM**ANDA BAKER **#**583823 IMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF LITAR STATE OF UTAH COUNTY OF UTAH ) On the \_\_\_\_\_ day of AMA ust\_\_, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, ERIN LEONA WHITING, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. NOTARY PUBLIC AMANDA BAKER #583823 MISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH **COUNTY OF UTAH )** On the 35 day of 1110, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, J. DOUGLAS HUFF, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC** amanda baker #583823 **DAM**ISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH

**COUNTY OF UTAH** )

#### PAYSON WEST MEADOWS ANNEXATION AGREEMENT - March 20, 2013

On the and day of une, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, SAUNDRA M. HUFF, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT. who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC AMANDA BAKER** #583823 MISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH STATE OF UTAH 12250:2014 PG 10 of 13 COUNTY OF UTAH ) , 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, JOSOPH J. BRADBURY, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. MOTARY PUBLIC amanda baker **#583823** MISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF LITAR STATE OF UTAH COUNTY OF UTAH ) On the day of hue, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, JOHN LAMONT AND TERRILL SPERRY, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. **NOTARY PUBLIC AMANDA BAKER** #583823 AISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF LITAR STATE OF UTAH : \$\$ **COUNTY OF UTAH )** On the Attack day of June, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, MARK GERING, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. STATE OF UTAH COUNTY OF UTAH )

# PAYSON WEST MEADOWS ANNEXATION AGREEMENT – March 20, 2013


of 13

On the <u>b</u> day of <u>the</u> , 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, LARRY F. AND CHERYL G. BROWN, the signers of the above <b>ANNEXATION AGREEMENT</b> , who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same.
NOTARY PUBLIC AMANDA BAKER #583823 COMMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 STATE OF UTAH  NOTARY PUBLIC
: ss COUNTY OF UTAH ) ENT 12250:2014 PG 11
On the 18th day of 1, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, LYNETTE GARDNER, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same.
NOTARY PUBLIC  AMANDA BAKER  #583823  COMMISSION EXPIRES  10-19-2014  STATE OF UTAH  NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF UTAH : ss  COUNTY OF UTAH )
On the 30 <sup>th</sup> day of 1000, 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, REED R. EKINS III AND VERLYN M. EKINS, the signers of the above ANNEXATION AGREEMENT, who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same.
MOTARY PUBLIC AMANDA BAKER #583823 COMMISSION EXPIRES 10-19-2014 FIATE OF UTAH  NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF UTAH : ss COUNTY OF UTAH )
On the <u>Igh</u> day of <u>October</u> , 2013, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, DANNY AND DIANA MUHLESTEIN, the signers of the above <b>ANNEXATION AGREEMENT</b> , who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same.
Shelle Attrems
STATE OF UTAH )  : SS  COUNTY OF UTAH )  LYNETTE MORTENSEN  Notary Public State of Utah My Commission Expires on: February 13, 2017 Comm. Number: 662231

ENT 12250:2014 P6 12 of 13

#### Attachment A

# Annexation Plat:



# ENGINEER/SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

I HEREBY	CERTIFY	THAT	THIS	IS	A	TRUE	AND	ACCURATE	MAP	0F	THE	TRACT	OF LAND	TO B	} [
ANNEXED T	0	_	F	A	Y	S 0 1	Ν				CITY,	HATU	COUNTY,	HATU	١.

# BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

COMMENCING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 1 EAS!,

SALT LAKE BASE MERIDIAN: THENCE AS FOLLOWS:

COURSE	DISTANCE	REMARKS
N 0°31'22" N	1590.75'	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE
S 75°37'30" N	1133.98'	
SOUTHWESTERLY	L = 633.74'	$R = 1230.80'$ , $\Delta = 29°31'15"$ , CHO BRG = S 60°51'52" H 626.75' (L)
S 46°06'15" W	1656.28'	
S 0°37'30" N	1253.03'	
S 89°07'30" E	215.161	
S 89°00'00" E	317.76'	
SOUTH	611.16'	
S 89°52'16" E	467.76'	ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE G. LOVELESS SUBDIVISION, PLAT A
\$ 6°19'53" H	346.25'	ALONG THE EAST LINE OF THE G. LOVELESS SUBDIVISION, PLAT A
S 89°57'22" N	736.73'	ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE G. LOVELESS SUBDIVISION, PLAT A
S 0°14'47" E	450.49'	
\$ 89°22'30" E	2343.26'	
SOUTH	20.57'	
S 88°54'54" E	4.43'	
S 14°23'46" E	969.40'	
N 88°23'12" E	87.27'	
N 0°18'02" H	2.48'	
FAST	1317.81'	
N 0°45'23" H	951.49'	ALONG THE WEST LINE OF 4600 WEST STREET, UTAH COUNTY
S 89°25'00" E	298.321	TO THE EAST LINE OF INTERSTATE 15
N 8°49'26" N	553.19'	ALONG THE EAST LINE OF INTERSTATE 15
NORTHEASTERLY	L = 2400.78'	R = 5609.58', \( \Delta = 24°31'14", \text{ CHD BRG} = N 11°26'12" E 2382.43'(R.
S 89°30'46" H	748.48'	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE
S 0°25'47" E	29.41'	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE
S 89°42'17" H	571.97'	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE
N 39*19'57" N	194.02'	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE
N 42°45'14" W	237.70'	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE
S 89°59'45" H	321.431	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE
S 0°34'51" E	327.72'	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE
S 89°42'17" H	189.15'	ALONG THE EXISTING CITY BOUNDARY LINE TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.
J 03 12 17 4		
	<u> </u>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>	
		AREA = 383.31 ACRES
	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>	
	1	

BASIS OF BEARING = UTAH COORDINATE SYSTEM, 1983 CENTRAL ZONE.

Ro. L. July

