Recorded at request of States Sept of Highways 642 DONALD S. COLEMAN Assistant Attorney General 236 State Capitol Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 Telephone: 328-5261

394613

A.G. 1940

IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR DAVIS COUNTY

STATE OF UTAH

UTAH STATE ROAD COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF IMMEDIATE OCCUPANCY

Civil No.

JOSIE ELLEN STEWART VINCENT, a woman; SETH LAYTON STEWART and ALEXIA N. STEWART, his wife,

Project No. I-15-7(21)320

Parcel No. 49:A

Defendants.

The plaintiff's motion for an order of immediate occupancy having come on regularly for hearing before the above entitled hour of 10:00 @m., and it having been shown to the satisfaction of said court that notice of such motion has been given to the defendants above named in the manner prescribed by law; and the court having heard the evidence offered by the plaintiff in support of such motion and have determined that the plaintiff has the rights of eminent domain, and that the purpose for which the premises sought by the complaint herein to be condemned is a public purpose and that the immediate occupancy of said premises is necessary and proper,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiff be and is hereby permitted and authorized to occupy the premises belonging to the defendants above named, which premises are sought for highway purposes or concerning which premises, easements or other rights for highway purposes are sought, all such property easements or other rights as required by the plaintiff herein, and the property belonging to the defendants as affected thereby being particularly set out and

Absinaciod

There were

DONALD S. COLEMAN of APR 30, 1974

Assistant Attorney General

236 State Capitol

Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Telephone: 328-5261

394613

Acc. 1940

IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR DAVIS COUNTY

STATE OF UTAH

UTAH STATE ROAD COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF IMMEDIATE OCCUPANCY

-176-

JOSIE ELLEN STEWART VINCENT, a woman; SETH LAYTON STEWART

and ALEXIA N. STEWART, his wife,

Project No. I-15-7(21)320

Civil No.

Parcel No. 49:A

Defendants.

having come on regularly for hearing before the above entitled court on the day of day of learning before the above entitled court on the day of learning been shown to the satisfaction of said court that notice of such motion has been given to the defendants above named in the manner prescribed by law; and the court having heard the evidence offered by the plaintiff in support of such motion and have determined that the plaintiff has the rights of eminent domain, and that the purpose for which the premises sought by the complaint herein to be condemned is a public purpose and that the immediate occupancy of said premises is necessary and proper,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiff be and is hereby permitted and authorized to occupy the premises belonging to the defendants above named, which premises are sought for highway purposes or concerning which premises, easements or other rights for highway purposes are sought, all such property easements or other rights as required by the plaintiff herein, and the property belonging to the defendants as affected thereby being particularly set out and

latted

643

described in the complaint on file in this action, and in the condemnation resolution filed in this action, a copy of which is hereby annexed, and the plaintiff is hereby permitted to take immediate possession of said properties of said defendants as required and as described and as set out in the plaintiff's complaint and to continue the possession of the same pending further hearing and trial on the issues that may be raised in this action and to do such work thereon as may be required for the purposes for which said premises are sought to be condemned and according to the nature thereof.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that during construction of the project and pending the hearing on the issues presented, the plaintiff shall protect any private drains now crossing the proposed highway right of way, and further, that prior to the destruction or removal of any fence on or along the property condemned, the plaintiff shall make adequate provisions for a fence along the highway right of way so as to provide fencing protection to the properties affected hereby at least equal to that now provided for each of such properties.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that pending further hearing and trial on the issues that may be presented in this action, and subject to the conditions herein set forth, the defendants and their agents, servants and employees be and they are hereby restrained and enjoined from hindering or interfering with plaintiff or any of the agents, employees or contractors of the plaintiff in the occupation of said premises required by plaintiff as particularly described and set forth in plaintiff's complaint, or in the doing of such work thereon as may be required for the purposes for which it is sought to condemn the said property, as set forth in said complaint.

This order shall not be effective until the plaintiff

herein has deposited with the clerk of the court, for the use and benefit of the defendant parties in interest herein, the full dollar amount of the approved appraisal of the defendants' property to be acquired in this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on receipt of said moneys, the clerk of this court is ordered to remit the same to the appropriate defendants in the percentage and ration to which entitled.

Dated this 16th day of HPRIC ,1974.

DISTRICT JUDGE

HIGHWAY PROJECT NO. 1-15-7(21)320 PAGE 2

RECORDED OWNER:
ADDRESS:
RECORDED OWNER:
ADDRESS:
LIEN HOLDER:
APPROVED APPRAISAL:
Parcel No. 15-7:49:A

Josie Ellen Stewart Vincent, a woman 1302 North 300 West, Provo, Utah Seth Layton Stewart and Alexia N. Stewart, his wife 408 West 200 North, Kaysville, Utah None of record \$7,475.00

A parcel of land in fee for a freeway known as Project No. 15-7, being part of an entire tract of property, in Blocks 5, 6 and 7 of Plat "B", Kaysville Townsite Survey, in the NW4 of Section 34, T. 4 N., R. 1 W., S.L.B.&M. The boundaries of said parcel of land are described as follows:

Beginning in the south line of said Block 5 at a point 136 ft. west from the SE. corner of said Block 5, which point is approximately 43 ft. south and 772 ft. east from the $W^{\frac{1}{4}}$ corner of said Section 34 as surveyed by the Davis County Surveyor; thence East 7 ft., more or less, along said south boundary line to an east boundary line of said entire tract; thence North 52 ft., more or less, along said east boundary line to a point 90.0 ft. perpendicularly distant northeasterly from the center line of Ramp No. 2 of said project; thence N. 49°46' W. 581 ft., more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of said entire tract; thence S. 50°55'37" W. 159 ft., more or less, along said northwesterly boundary line to the northeasterly right of way line of the existing highway known as Project No. FI-236(2); thence S. 43°21'30" E. 23 ft., more or less, along said northeasterly right of way line to a point of tangency with a 523.7-foot radius curve to the left; thence Southeasterly 360.6 ft. along the arc of said curve; thence S. 82°48'30" E. 104.3 ft. along said northeasterly right of way line to a point of tangency with a 150.0-foot radius curve to the right; thence Southeasterly 188.2 ft. along the arc of said curve to the point of beginning. The above described parcel of land contains 1.32 acres, more or less.

(Note: Davis County Survey bearing of the west line of the $NW_4^{\frac{1}{4}}$ of said Section 34 is rotated 0°20'57" clockwise, to match Highway Survey bearings. All bearings and distances in the above description are based on the Utah State Plane

Together with any and all rights or easements appurtenant to the remaining portion of said entire tract of property by reason of the location thereof with reference to said freeway, including, without limiting the foregoing, all rights of ingress to or egress from said remaining portion, contiguous to the lands hereby conveyed, to or from said freeway.